

50X1-HUM

4634
"The Bacteriophage of Pasteurella Pestis:
General Characteristics of Bacteriophage"

by: Maj TAKAHASHI Masahiko

Japanese Army Medical College,
Immunological Laboratory

Dec 1942.

CONFIDENTIAL

Table of Contents

Introduction

I. Isolation of Pasteurella Pestis Bacteriophage

1. Test Bacterial Strains and Their Biological Characteristics
2. Isolation Methods
3. Test Results
4. Resumé of this Chapter Summary

II. Characteristics of Pasteurella Pestis Bacteriophage

- Tests on increasing Bacteriolytic Action power.*
1. Tests on the promotion of Bacteriolytic Action power.
 2. Tests on Heat Resistance
 3. *Halo* Experiments on 'Elementary Phage'
 4. Relation between Cultural Temperature and Bacteriolytic Action
 5. Resumé of this Chapter Summary

III. Relation between Bacteriophages and

Variants of Pasteurella Pestis Bacteriophages and Variants

1. Isolation of Bacteriophages from *Pasteurella Pestis* Variants
2. Characteristics of *Bacteriophages* isolated from *Pasteurella Pestis* Variants

(2)

CONFIDENTIAL

3. ~~Interrelationship~~ ^{the} of Bacteriophages

4. ~~Resume of this Chapter Summary~~

IV. ~~Interrelationship~~ of Bacteriophages of

Pasteurella Pestis and Other Bacteria

1. ~~Experiments~~ ^{Tests} on Corynebacterium pseudo-tuberculosis rodentium

2. ~~Experiments~~ ^{Tests} on Bacillus metadyenteriae (OHARA bacillus)

3. ~~Experiments~~ ^{Tests} on Other Bacteria

4. ~~Resume of this Chapter Summary~~

V. Summary and Considerations

Conclusions

Bibliography

Appended Illustrations

CONFIDENTIAL

(7)

Introduction

(1)

Felix Hubert d'Herelle was the first to report on the bacteriophage of Pasteurella pestis (hereafter abbreviated merely 'phage'). He succeeded in isolating this phage which ~~acted~~ ^{only} possessed a specific action against Pasteurella pestis from rodent stools while in Indo-china in 1920. ~~He reported that~~ d'Herelle ~~obtained~~ excellent therapeutic results from four glandular plague cases by injecting this phage directly into the buboes. Since then number of other scientists also carried out research on this phage. Based on ~~the bibliography~~ on the isolation of bacteriophages and their characteristics, we find that Hauduroy and Ghalib ~~carried~~ ~~attempted~~ the isolation of this phage and detected this phage in blood sera and stools of rodents captured in the sewers of Paris. We also know that Pokrovskaya succeeded in isolating this phage along with Pasteurella pestis from dead shrews. Others like Advier isolated this phage from the blood of plague cases and Harvey also proved that it was possible to isolate this phage from the intestinal contents,

CONFIDENTIAL

stools and bubonic tissues of infected cases.

(6) Girard isolated this phage from the stools of rodents that were captured in ~~an~~ epidemic area and also from the Xenopsylla cheopis found on these rodents. On the other hand, Girard failed to detect this phage in the Xenopsylla cheopis taken from rodents in areas free from plague epidemic. Based on these facts, Girard claimed ~~that~~ the phage isolated from the Xenopsylla cheopis actually ~~originated in~~ rodents and considered this a very vital epidemiologic ~~significance~~ fact.

Other scientists, aside from those (7)(8) mentioned above, like Flu, detected this phage in the water of the Leyden Canal in Holland, and reported that this phage possessed bacteriolysed actions ~~against~~ Escherichia coli, Shigella (9) dysenteriae and Pasteurella pestis, while SUGINO

reported that he succeeded in isolating a phage ~~that has~~ against Pasteurella pestis ~~by~~ the protomylase-method. (6)

CONFIDENTIAL

Based on ~~the~~ studies on the characteristics of these isolated ~~phages~~, Hauduroy, Pokrovskaya, SUGINO and Flu ~~said~~ that the bacteriolytic action ~~of~~ of these ~~phages~~ could be ~~increased~~ by ~~the~~ combined transplantation with Pasteurella pestis; and based on ~~the~~ studies on the specificity of the ~~the~~ bacteriolytic action ~~of~~ by many scientists, (d'Herelle, Hauduroy and Pokrovskaya), it was proven that these ~~phages~~ ~~had~~ a higher (10) specificity against Pasteurella pestis. Couvy proved that this specificity existed even among ~~the~~ different types of Pasteurella pestis strains and that each ~~phage~~ ~~possessed~~ bacteriolytic ~~and only~~ ~~its original strain alone~~ ~~other strains~~. Advier also proved that the ~~phage~~ which he ~~isolated~~ from a plague case ~~possessed~~ specific ~~bacteriolytic~~ ~~acted~~ ~~only against~~ Pasteurella pestis and ~~had no effects on~~ ~~Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium.~~ SUGINO also proved that the ~~phage~~ which he ~~isolated~~ from Pasteurella pestis by the protamylase method ~~possessed~~ specific ~~action only against~~ Pasteurella pestis and ~~had no effect against the~~ ~~Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium and others of the~~ genus Pasteurella.

CONFIDENTIAL

The majority of these scientists have thus proved the existence of the specificity of pestis phage, ~~and~~ Flu ^{claimed} that the ~~✓~~ phage which he ~~had~~ isolated from the canal water ~~██████████~~ ~~bacteriolytic~~ not only ~~██████████~~ Pasteurella pestis but also ~~against~~ Escherichia coli and Shigella dysenteriae. Flu also ^{claimed} that a combined transplantation of this ~~✓~~ phage with Pasteurella pestis ~~or~~ Escherichia coli for several generations did not inhibit ~~the~~ bacteriolytic ~~power~~ ~~of the~~ phage against Pasteurella pestis, Escherichia coli and Shigella dysenteriae. Based on these findings, Flu claimed that these phages did not exist in combined forms.

Research on the isolation and characteristics of Pasteurella pestis phage, as those mentioned above, ~~the~~ few and many of ~~these~~ points mentioned ^{always} need further clarification. I isolated Pasteurella pestis phage by the protamylase method and ~~then~~ investigated its characteristics. I also verified the relation between Pasteurella pestis variants and ~~bacteriolytic actions~~ ^{the} of ~~the~~ phages of these variants ~~particularly~~ ^{to determine} ~~else~~ investigating the specificity of the

(A)
CONFIDENTIAL

was transferred on identical agar slant cultures to determine the presence of phage in these suspensions. Furthermore, with the object of detecting the presence of this phage ~~to~~ ~~the speedier growth of~~ ~~promoting~~ ~~the~~ growth, approximately 1cc of this bacterial suspension was added to 9cc of ordinary bouillon and another platinum loopful ~~from~~ ~~an identical strain was transplanted.~~ ^{together} This preparation was then incubated at 37°C for 45 hours. ~~This culture~~ ^{and} then heat-treated at 60°C for 60 minutes until the bacteria were destroyed ~~and~~ ^{then} tested for bacteriophage action. This procedure was repeated over and over ~~with~~ 3-4 generations and tested for the presence of phages.

Preparation of Slant Agar Culture

The entire surface of ~~a~~ ordinary agar slant ~~was~~ ^{smeared} smeared uniformly with Pasteurella pestis. Then a platinum loopful of ~~a~~ phage preparation was dropped in the center of the slant surface (in this particular case a large platinum loop was ^{specially} prepared ~~and~~ used). The test tube ~~was~~ ^{then} immediately placed upright and incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes.

CONFIDENTIAL

9-P

CONFIDENTIAL

Table I
A Table of Bacterial Strains used in Tests

No.	Identification No. of bacterial strain	Source of strains	Date isolation	Ordinary Agar-Dish Growth	Type Colony	Viscous	Glycerine analysis
1	86	adenoma of glandular plague case	2 Jul 1940	#	#	S Strong	+
2	87	adenoma of glandular plague case	15 Jul 1940	#	#	S "	+
3	101	liver of glandular plague case	28 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
4	106	spleen of glandular plague case	11 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
5	108	adenoma of glandular plague case	26 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
6	142	blood from the heart of glandular plague case	4 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
7	143	blood from the heart of glandular plague case	2 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
8	151	Blood from the heart of glandular plague case	2 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
9	152	spleen of glandular plague case	10 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
10	153	Spleen of glandular plague case	4 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+
11	159	spleen of sewer rats during the epidemic in Hsin-ching	12 Oct 1940	#	#	S "	+

12	177	spleen of sewer rats during the epidemic in Hsin-ching	8 Oct 1940	#	#	S	"	+
13	184	Xenopsylla cheopis caught during the epidemic in Hsin-ching	10 Oct 1940	#	#	S	"	+
14	191	Xenopsylla cheopis caught during the epidemic in Hsin-ching	14 Oct 1940	#	#	S	"	+
15	1004	glandular plague case	1938	#	#	S	"	-
16	45	glandular plague case	1938	#	#	S	"	+
17	7	plague case (this specimen was kept at the Army Medical College for a long while)	?	#	#	S	weak	-
18	1	Otten's 'Tjiwidej' strain	1929	#	#	S	"	-
19	2	Girard's 'E. V.' strain	1926	#	#	S	"	-

CONFIDENTIAL

bacterial strains are given in Table 1. All these strains do not possess bacteriophage in their natural forms.

Table 1. A Table of Bacterial Strains used in Tests

(Table on separate paper) (See next page)

~~2-1~~. Isolation Methods

In accordance with the protamylase method an approximate 1% of protamylase was added to ordinary bouillon ~~with~~ pH 7.2. This preparation was then agitated and filtered through Chamberland's L₃ filter. Approximately 10cc of this filtrate was then poured into test tubes and examined for possible contamination by ~~other~~ microorganisms. After this sterility test, a platinum loopful of a 45 hour cultured ^{adult} test strain ~~baeterie,~~ cultivated on ordinary agar and incubated at 37°C, was transplanted into this filtrate. These test tube cultures were then incubated at 37°C for a predetermined number of days. ^{after which} ~~Then~~, these cultures were heat treated at 60°C for 60 minutes. After the Pasteurella pestis was definitely destroyed, the bacterial suspension

CONFIDENTIAL

bacteriolytic action. I investigated also the bacteriolytic action of this isolated 'phage' against other types of bacteria and the bacteriolytic actions of ~~bacteriophages~~ of various origin against Pasteurella pestis. I found that the pestis phage possessed bacteriolytic ~~action~~ against certain types of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentum and the R-type Bacillus metadyssenteriae, and that the ~~bacteriophage~~ of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentum possessed bacteriolytic ~~action~~ only against the R-type variant of Pasteurella pestis. I here-with submit my reports ~~of~~ these interesting results and request your comments on them.

Bacteriophage from
I. Isolation of Pasteurella pestis ~~Bacillus~~

~~phage~~

1. Test Bacterial Strains and their Biologic Characteristics

These bacterial strains were isolated during the plague epidemic in Hsin-ching and Nung-an in Oct., 1940, from plague cases, rodents ~~from~~ ^{and} these epidemic areas, fleas found on these rodents, and ~~these~~ strains kept in our laboratory. The source and biologic characteristics of these

CONFIDENTIAL

The resulting growth ~~of~~ ^{and} was then examined for possible bacteriolytic action.

3. Test Results

Based on the above isolation method, the presence of phage in these test strains was ~~detected~~ but none ^{indicated} positive results in the first series. This ~~result~~ was assumed to be caused by the use of ^{an} old supply of protamylase in consideration of the ^{positive} test results ~~found~~ in the second series.

Table 2 gives ~~the~~ test results ~~of~~ the second series, and according to this table, phages were detected in 2 of the 5-day old strains, 1 of the 7-day old strains, 1 of the 14-day old strains and 2 of the 21-day old strains.

Table 2. Results in phage detection

(Table given in separate paper)

Table 3 gives ~~the~~ test results ~~of~~ the third series and according to this Table, phages were detected in 1 of the 5-day old strains, 1 of the 7-day old strains and 1 of the 14-day old strains.

Table 3. Results in phage detection

(Table given in separate paper)

CONFIDENTIAL

11-A

Table 2. Results in phage' detections

Age of cultures	3-day	5-day	7-day	14-day	21-day
Number of generations	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4
Identification No.					
Material strains	Notes: - + is positive blank spaces indicate negative results				
86					
87					
101					
106					
108					
142					
143					
151					
152	+ + + +				
153					
159					
177					
182	+ + + +				
191					
1004					
43					
7					
1					
2			+ + + + + + + +		

CONFIDENTIAL

11-B

Table 3. Results in 'page' detection

Age of cultures	5-day	7-day	14-day		
Number of generations	1 2 3	1 2 3	1 2 3		
Identification No. of bacterial strains					
86					
87					
101					
106					
108					
142					
143					
151					
152					
153					
157					
171					
184	+ + +				
491					
1004		+ + +			
43					
7					
2					

Notes:-
+ is positive
blank spaces indicate
negative results.

CONFIDENTIAL

Tables 2 and 3 show that ~~✓~~ phages were detected in 7 ~~✓~~ of the 19 test bacterial strains, and according to these results the age of the culture ~~had no great effect upon the detection of the phage.~~

~~There were~~ ~~in those cases~~ where ~~phages~~ were detected in the first generation, ~~nor~~ ~~were cultures~~ produced positive ~~results~~ ~~in~~ ~~even~~ after these cultures ~~indicated in~~ ~~for~~ in the growth during the 2~~nd~~ and 3~~rd~~ generations.

Aside from the protamylase method which was mentioned previously, I attempted ~~to detect~~ pestis phage in ~~chicken droppings~~ and intestinal contents of test animals (mice and guinea pigs) that died from plague but failed ~~in obtaining positive~~.

4. ~~Resumé of this Chapter Summary~~

I detected ~~phages~~ in 7 of the 19 Pasteurella pestis strains ~~used in those~~ by ~~agar~~ agar slants and proceeding according to the protamylase method.

(8)

CONFIDENTIAL

II. Characteristics of Pasteurella Pestis

~~Bacteriophage~~

1. ~~Tests on increasing~~ Bacteriolytic Action Power

During the initial stage immediately after ~~the~~ isolation from their respective bacterial strains, these ~~✓~~ phages ~~✓~~ indicated very weak bacteriolytic ~~action~~ and barely produced bacteriolysis in 10^{-3} concentrations. However, when these ~~✓~~ phages ~~✓~~ were transplanted for generations with their respective bacterial strains (approximately 0.1cc of bacteriophagic suspension ~~was~~ added to approximately 10cc ordinary bouillon. Then a platinum loopful of the original strain/cultured on ordinary agar for 45 hours and incubated at 37°C was transplanted ~~into~~ this bouillon preparation. This preparation was then incubated at 37°C for 45 hours. ~~and~~ this ~~✓~~ bouillon preparation was heat-treated at 60°C for 60 minutes until the bacteria were killed and then bacteriolytic characteristics ~~were studied~~ ~~in~~ ~~isolated~~) Their bacteriolytic ~~action~~ ~~✓~~ rapidly increased and indicated bacteriolyses even in 10^{-7} and 10^{-8} concentrations (as shown in Table 4).

(1)

CONFIDENTIAL

These bacteriophagic suspensions were transplanted ~~with~~ various other bacterial strains and ~~the~~ ^{their} bacteriolytic action² found to be identically increased (as shown in Table 5).

Tests on Increasing the
Table 4. ~~Tests on the promotion of~~

Bacteriolytic Action (Part 1)

(Table 4 is given in separate paper)

Tests on Increasing the
Table 5. ~~Tests on the promotion of~~

Bacteriolytic Action (Part 2)

(Table 5 is given in separate paper)

The bacteriolytic ~~action~~ of each of these various phages were tested on different type^s of bacterial strain^s and ~~the~~ results are given in Table 6. According to this Table ~~the~~ these test phages ~~bacteriolyzed~~ ^{overdose} ~~all the~~ ^{of} ~~Basteurella pestis~~ strains.

During the initial stage after isolation, these phages ^{indicated} weak bacteriolytic action and ~~bacteriolyzed~~ only ~~the~~ their identical bacterial strains and had no effect against other type^s of strain^s. However, even these weak phages ~~bacteriolyzed~~ ^{after} other type^s of strains ~~they were transplanted for generations~~ as mentioned above, and thereby increasing their bacteriolytic ^{potency} (as shown in Table 7).

CONFIDENTIAL

14-A

Table 4. Tests on the ^{Increasing} proportion of bacteriolytic action (Part 1)

Type of phage	Concentration No of generations	Increasing									
		10^{-1}	10^{-2}	10^{-3}	10^{-4}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}	10^{-7}	10^{-8}	10^{-9}	10^{-10}
Phage 1004	1	#	#	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-	-
	3	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-	-
	5	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-	-
	1	#	#	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Phage 1	2	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	+	-	-
	3	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	+	+	-
	5	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	+	+	-
	1	#	#	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	+	-	-
Phage 153	3	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-	-
	5	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	+	-	-
	1	#	#	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-	-
	3	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-	-

Notes:- 1. # complete bacteriolysis; + bacteriolytic vacuolar count $10^{-1}00$; + bacteriolytic vacuolar count less than $10^{-1}00$; -- negative bacteriolysis. These definitions are applicable to all the following tables.

2. Phag 1004 was isolated from 1004 bacterial strain, etc.

CONFIDENTIAL

14-13

Table 5. Tests on ~~the formation~~ of Bacteriostatic Actions (Part 2)

Type of Chago preparation used	no of gauze cotton used	concentration								
		10^{-1}	10^{-2}	10^{-3}	10^{-4}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}	10^{-7}	10^{-8}	10^{-9}
Chago 1004 extract aqueous fusiform	1	#	#	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-
	3	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-	-
Chago 1004	4	#	#	#	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-
	3	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	+	-

CONFIDENTIAL

14-C

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Table 6. Bacteriophages Test against Different Types of Strains (Part 1)

Type of Phage	Strain 86	87	101	106	108	142	143	151	152	153	159	177	184	191	1004	43	7	1	2
phage 142	#	##	##	##	##	+	+	+	##	+	+	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##
" 152	#	#	+	+	+	+	+	##	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
" 153	#	#	+	+	+	+	+	+	##	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
" 159	#	#	#	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
" 184	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
" 1004	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
" 1	#	##	##	##	##	+	+	+	##	+	##	##	##	##	##	+	##	##	##

Table 7. Bacteriophages Test against Different Types of Strains (Part 2)

Type of Phage	Strain 86	87	101	106	108	142	143	151	152	153	159	177	184	191	1004	43	7	1	2
phage 142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
phage 184	2	##	##	+	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##
" 1004	3	##	##	##	+	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##
phage 1	1	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
" 2	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##
" 3	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##	##

Table 8. Resistance against heat of vaporization

<u>Temperature</u>	65°C	70°C	75°C
<u>Type of Phage</u>			
phage 142	++	+	-
" 152	++	-	-
" 153	++	+	-
" 159	++	+	-
" 184	++	-	-
" 1004	++	+	-
" 1	++	+	-

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 9. Experiments on "Elementaryphage" Part 1

Bacterial strain of phage	1	1004	153	184	159
Phage 1	med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.	lg. med. sm.
1004	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.
153	lg. med. sm.	lg. med. sm.	Med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.
184	med. sm.	med. sm.	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.

lg. = large; med = medium; sm = small

Note: — large = vacuolar formations larger than 0.5 cm diameter

small " " smaller . 0.1cm "

medium " " between 0.5 - 0.1cm "

These explanations are applicable to the following table on elementary phage

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 10. Experiments on 'Elementary phage' Part 2

Bacterial strain Type phage		1	1004	153	184	159
Phage	large	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.	med. sm.	lg. med. sm.
	small	med. sm.	" "	" "	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.
1004	large	lg. med. sm.	" "	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.	" "
	small	med. sm.	" "	med. sm.	" "	" "
153	large	med. sm.	" "	lg. med. sm.	" "	" "
	small	med. sm.	" "	" "	" "	" "
184	large	med. sm.	" "	" "	" "	lg. med. sm.
	small	med. sm.	" "	med. sm.	lg. med. sm.	med. sm.

CONFIDENTIAL

2. Tests on Heat Resistance

Test tubes were filled with approximately 5cc each of the original bacteriophage suspensions and immersed in double boiler and then heated for 30 minutes at 65 - 70 - 75°C. The bacteriolytic ~~action~~^{vitro} of these various bacteriophage suspensions in ~~the~~ were tested after each series of heating and the resulting readings are given in Table 8. According to this Table, these ~~bacteriophages~~ were more resistant to vaporization heat than Pasteurella pestis in general and were found ~~completely unaffected~~^{to be wholly unaffected} and ~~after~~^{after} an immersion for 30 minutes at 65°C indicated some life even after an immersion for 30 minutes at 70°C. This proved that the resistance of these ~~phages~~^{phages} ~~against heat~~ differed according to their respective bacterial strains.

(insert Table 8 on pp 472-8 of original)

(Table 8 given in separate paper)

3. ~~Experiments~~^{Tests} on 'Elementary phage'

(11)

Bail detected the ~~bacteriophage~~ of Shigella dysenteriae in the filtrates of the

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

stool and urine specimens from an afflicted case. He smeared this phage together with Shigella dysenteriae on the agar surface. [bacteriolytic] resulting formations of vacuoles [redacted] he [redacted] the [redacted] into and large, medium, [redacted] small virgin groups and [redacted] the biological and immunological [redacted] in each of the [redacted]. He found that phages forming small vacuoles and those forming medium and large vacuoles were different types and that the [redacted] phages [redacted] obtained from [redacted] filtrates of stool and urine specimens were so-called 'mixed' [redacted] phages'. Bail advocated the practice of dividing all other types of phages as an essential factor in [redacted] phages.

Numerous scientists carried out (12) similar research since Bail. Janzen and Wolff [redacted] studied the [redacted] phage of Eberthella typhosa and came to a conclusion, [redacted] Bail, claiming that the formation of the large, medium and small vacuoles was not brought about by the action of [redacted] phages [redacted] due to the action (13) of the bacterial strains used. Seiffert also [redacted] came to a similar conclusion.

KUWANO isolated [redacted] phages

(16)

(on the strength of)

by protamylase method and ~~based on his de-~~
tailed research claimed that Bail's so-called
'division of phages' was not composed of inde-
pendent groups of various types. He claimed
that the formation of these various vacuoles
was merely a phenomenon ~~arising from~~ a change
variously
in the action of ~~the best~~ phages influ-
enced by the interrelationship of these phages,
and the toxicity of the strains used ~~in these~~
~~—~~, the various characteristics of ~~—~~
culture media, and the ~~bacteria themselves.~~
(15)

On the other hand, KUROZAWA ~~etc~~
~~studied the~~ Eberthella typhosa and Shigella
dysenteriae phages which he obtained from the
stool and urine specimens of a case and ~~proved~~
claimed
that these ~~—~~ were mixed ~~—~~ phages
as reported by Bail, and succeeded in ~~describing~~
(16)
these phages into divisions. ~~(YAGI)~~ also
~~studied the~~ ~~etc~~ ~~research on the phages of Salmo-~~
~~etc~~ ~~etc~~ ~~Classifying~~
nella and succeeded in ~~etc~~ ~~etc~~ these into
concluded
divisions, and asserted that the grouping of
according to their vacuolar sizes
these phages was not a difficult matter if
appropriate bacterial strains and phages were

CONFIDENTIAL

(17)

used in ~~in~~. HANABU also isolated the bacteriophage of *Salmonella typhimurium* by the protamylase method and verified the existence of Bail's so-called 'elementary phage' by isolating a pure culture of large and small vacuolar forming phages.

I also investigated the existence of 'elementary phage' in the *Pasteurella pestis* phage which I have obtained by the protamylase method.

One minim each of these phages and a platinum loopful of a 45-hour old ordinary agar cultures of the test bacterial strain were transferred on ENDO's flat plate and uniformly smeared on the surface of ~~the~~ with Conradi's rod. These plates were then incubated at 37°C for 45 hours until vacuoles were formed. The resulting reading are given in ~~Table~~, 9. According to ~~the reading~~, most of the phages formed medium and small vacuoles in ~~the~~ the test bacterial strains. Some formed large vacuoles. I isolated ~~the~~ the large and small vacuoles and attempted to produce pure ~~specimens~~ specimens of each.

(insert Table 9 given in separate paper)

(18)

CONFIDENTIAL

These small and large vacuolar formations were separately transplanted into ordinary bouillon ~~together~~ with their surrounding Pasteurella pestis furs and then cultured at 37°C for 45 hours. The ~~2 hour~~ cultures were then immersed in 60°C bath for 30 minutes until the Pasteurella pestis was destroyed. These ~~small and large vacuolar~~ phages were then transplanted ~~on~~ ^{with} test bacterial strains and the resulting ^{bacterial} formations were examined. Results are given in Table 10 and according to this Table, it was found that small and and at times even large vacuoles medium vacuolar formations were present in the cultures prepared ~~from~~ ^{from} small vacuolar ~~fusions~~, and some cultures with large, medium and small vacuolar formations were found in cultures prepared with large vacuolar phages.

(insert Table 10 given in separate paper)

Repeated tests were made in my attempt to produce pure cultures of ^{large forming} small and large vacuolar ~~phage~~ ^{but} failed. In other words, I failed to isolate ~~the~~ Bail's so-called 'elementary phage' ~~from~~ this

CONFIDENTIAL

particular phage. Furthermore, the large, medium and small vacuolar formations found in Pasteurella pestis phage cultures were ~~large formations~~ not various types of individual phages, as reported by KUWANO, but ~~large~~ a temporary phenomenon.

4. Relation between ~~temperature~~ Temperature and Bacteriolytic Action

No Pasteurella pestis ~~form~~ forms an envelope when cultured at 37°C and its fur became viscous. However, when cultured below 26°C it ~~did~~ not produce an envelope and the fur became non-viscous. I therefore proceeded to determine whether there existed a relationship between the bacteriolytic action of the phages and the formation of ~~this~~ envelope. This phage was transplanted on slant agar ~~and~~ incubated at 37° and 20° for 45 minutes. Then they were examined to determine their bacteriolytic action, and the result ~~of this test~~ given in Table 11. According to this Table, there was no difference in the bacteriolytic action of both cultures. These cultures were also transplanted on flat plates but indicated no difference in their bacteriolytic action.

(P)

CONFIDENTIAL

Table 11. Relation between ~~Cultured~~ Temperature used for culture
and Bacteriolytic Action

Cultured Temperature of Culture	Bacterial Strains Type of Bages	The Temperature used for culture				
		1004	153	143	159	
37°C	Type 1	++	++	++	++	++
	- 1004	++	++	++	++	++
	- 153	++	++	++	++	++
20°C	- 1	++	++	++	++	++
	- 1004	++	++	++	++	++
	- 153	++	++	++	++	++

CONFIDENTIAL

5. Summary

Phages that were isolated from the Pasteurella pestis not only ~~showed~~ produced bacteriolytic process action against their original strain, but also against other bacterial strains. It was also observed that these phages indicated an increase in ~~their~~ bacteriolytic ~~action power~~ when transplanted in their original strains or other bacterial strains.

The resistance of the phages ~~against~~ to steam is comparatively stronger than the Pasteurella pestis and it was observed that there existed a difference in this resistance according to their original strains.

We failed to obtain the 'elementary phage' from the pestis phage.

We also observed that there existed no relation between the temperature used in cultivation and ~~the~~ bacteriolytic action.

CONFIDENTIAL

(21)

III. Relation between the Pasteurella pestis
bacteriophages and variants

Based on our research, we have already reported that
Type E → Type N variant and Type S → Type R ←
Type RS variants existed. We therefore investigated
~~as to what sort of relation~~^{ship that} existed between the pestis
phage and these variants.

1. Isolation of ~~bacteriophages~~ from
variants

Based on the method mentioned in Chapter
Section 1 Paragraph 2, I isolated the phages ~~of~~ No 145 and
No 3 strains of Type N bacillus, and also from the
R-variants of No 100, No 1 and No 145 strains and
from the RS variant of No 1004 strain.

2. Characteristics of the bacteriophages
isolated from the variants

These ~~various~~ phages that were isolated
from the variants showed increase in their bacteriolytic
action when they were transplanted in their respective
strains and also indicated an increase in their
bacteriolytic strength when they were transplanted
in other strains (in stock strains and other variants,
STRAINS
in stock strains and variants of other bacilli).
(Table omitted).

These phages were also tested against
host and were found to be identically resistant against to

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

heat as the phage that was isolated from the stock strain.

The relation between the bacteriolytic action of the phage and the temperature used in the cultivation of these ^{phage} cultures was investigated and ^{proved} was found that 30°C and 20°C produced no change.

3. The Interaction of the bacteriophages

Phages that were isolated from the stock strain and variants were used in testing their bacteriolytic actions against the stock and variant

bacilli by the flat agar plate method ~~as mentioned~~

in Chapter 3 Sec 3 ^{from} ~~and~~ forming vacuoles in the media

The conclusive results from this test are given in Table 12, showing that the phages obtained from both the stock and variant strains acted upon these strains ^{produced} and identical ~~results~~.

(insert Table 12 on page 472-12 of original ^{TRANSLATED ON} ~~separate sheet~~)

~~Only through a close observation of the bacteriolytic condition ^{however} will reveal that both phages of the stock and variant strains produced, in most cases medium and small vacuoles and at times large vacuoles against the stock strain (Type EB) and the Type N variant strain (Type NS). The borders of the vacuoles are translucent and the semi-clear portion developing between the boundaries of the clear bacteriolyzed section and the bacterial fur is narrow~~

Table 12. Relation Between Variants and
Bacteriolytic Action

CONFIDENTIAL

Type of Variants		E							
		S		R		RS		RT	
Type of Bacterial Strains	Phase	1	1004	1	1004	1	1004	1	1004
ES	Phase 1	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
	1004	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
ER	1R	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.
	1004R	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
NS	145	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
	3	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
NR	145R	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
	3R	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
RS	1004RS	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.

Type of Variants		N							
		S		R		RS		RT	
Type of Bacterial Strains	Phase	1	3	145	4	145	3	145	3
ES	Phase 1	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
	1004	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	sub.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
ER	1R	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.
	1004R	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
NS	145	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
	3	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
NR	145R	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.
	3R	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.
RS	1004RS	med.sus.	med.sus.	lg.med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.	med.sus.

A close observation of the bacteriolytic characteristics indicated no relation between phages of the original and variant strains. However, these phages produced medium and small vacuolar formations in most cases when they were transplanted with their original strains (ES variant) and the N variant (NS variant) and at times produced large vacuolar formations. These vacuolar formations were clear along the borders and the partially transparent section forming the boundary between the transparent bacteriolysed section and the bacterial fur (this section indicated a weak bacteriolysis with a thin furry growth) followed the borderline of the vacuole in thin and uniform order. In this respect, the ES and the NS variants were identical.

Investigation of the bacteriolytic actions against the R variant showed that these phages, irrespective of their E or N variant origin, produced large, medium and small vacuolar formations. There were more large vacuolar formation in this case in comparison to the test made on the S variant, and the bordline of the vacuoles slightly turbid.

CONFIDENTIAL

The partially transparent boundary between the transparent bacteriolysed section and the furry growth was wider and followed the borderline of the vacuole irregularly and this characteristic differentiated the R Variant from the S variant. However, this difference was negligible and could not be clearly ascertained in some cases.

Many of the RS variants indicated bacteriolysis similar to that seen where the R variant was used.

The RT variant indicated bacteriolysis identical to that seen where the original S variant was used.

In summarizing these results, it was found that phages obtained from both the original and variant strains produced identical bacteriolytic results. However, a close investigation on these results indicated that some difference in the formation of vacuoles and in the vacuolar characteristics in the original S strain and the R variant existed.

6
7

CONFIDENTIAL

In other words, it was possible to find different bacteriolytic conditions in existence depending on the difference in the characteristics of the bacterial strains used.

4. Resumé of this Chapter *Summary*

Phages obtained from both the variants and original strains of Pasteurella pestis indicated identical characteristics.

Investigation of the bacteriolytic phenomena of these phages against the original and variant strains of Pasteurella pestis indicated that the N and the original strains produced identical results but the R variant, in comparison to the original S variant, indicated some difference in the vacuolar formation and bacteriolytic characteristics.

26

CONFIDENTIAL

~~action~~
IV. Interrelationship of ~~bacteriophages of~~

Pasteurella pestis and other Bacteria

1. ~~Test~~ on Corynebacterium pseudo-tuberculosis rodentium

~~From~~ my investigation on the bacteriolytic action of the pestis phage against Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium (Pfeiffer) through the use of slant agar, ~~the results~~ I obtained ~~the results~~ given in Table 13. According to this Table, the pestis phage produced bacteriolysis against certain strains of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium ~~and none against~~ others. Although the bacteriolytic ~~actions~~ of these phages were about the same there ~~still existed~~ some ~~some~~ difference ~~depending on~~ ~~on~~ the type of phage.

(insert Table 13. ~~see page 472-13 of original)~~

Keys to Table 13

1. Table 13. Bacteriolytic action against Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium (Part 1)
2. Bacterial Strain
3. phages

CONFIDENTIAL

4. Bacterial Strain

5. NISHI (TN: abbreviation of Personal Name)

The pestis phage was transplanted together with its ~~original~~ strain ~~for generations~~ and its ~~action~~ increased. ~~The~~ The phage ~~then~~ was tested on Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium to determine the presence of bacteriolytic ~~sis~~ ~~sis~~ the results as shown in Table 14. According to this Table, ~~there~~ ~~in the bacteriolytic action~~ ~~was~~ practically no change although ~~its~~ bacteriolytic ~~power~~ ~~had been~~ increased ~~by~~ transplantation. Two strains of phages obtained from Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium by ~~means~~ the protamylase method, as in the case of the Pasteurella pestis, (one of these strains was donated by Capt TANAKA) were tested on Pasteurella pestis and ~~their~~ bacteriolytic action investigated, ~~the~~ the results ~~shown~~ in Table 15. According to this Table, these phages had no effect on ~~E~~ and ~~N~~ of Pasteurella pestis, showed no bacteriolytic action against the original S type, but ~~produced~~ active bacteriolytic ~~sis~~ ~~against the R~~ variant. ~~They also~~ ~~showed identical bacteriolytic~~ ~~the RS variant~~ ~~they did to the R variant~~ ~~and yet had no effect against~~ the RT type. As I have previously mentioned,

CONFIDENTIAL

in
 the subject 'Research on Pasteurella pestis Variants', RS variant ~~grows~~ more readily than R variant and the biological and serological characteristics ~~of this~~ RS variant is very ~~close~~ to R variant. On the other hand, the RT variant closely resembles the original S ~~strains~~ in its biological and serological characteristics, although the RT variant ~~differs~~ from the R variant. ~~From~~ these facts ~~one~~ ~~this~~ ~~that~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ characteristics and ~~the~~ relation to the bacteriolytic action ~~was~~ considered ~~together~~ the ensuing result ~~would~~ prove very interesting.

(insert Table 14 on pp 472-14 of original)

Keys to Table 14

1. Table 14. Bacteriolytic Action against

Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis

rodentium (Part 2)

2. phage

3. generation

4. bacterial strain

(insert Table 15 on pp 472-15 of original)

CONFIDENTIAL

Keys to Table 15

1. Bacteriolytic Action of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage against Pasteurella pestis (Part 1)
2. phage
3. bacterial strain
4. type of bacteria

The Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage indicated no bacteriolytic action against E and N variants as shown in Table 16.

(insert Table 16 on pp 472-15 of original)

1. Table 16. Same as Table 15 above (Part 2)
2. phage
3. bacterial strain
4. type of bacteria

The relative bacteriolytic action of the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage against Pasteurella pestis showed no change even after this ~~phage~~ phage was ~~isolated~~ transplanted for generations ~~with original strain and strengthened~~ its ~~original~~ as shown

in Table 17.

(insert Table 17 on pp 472-15 of original)

SP

CONFIDENTIAL

Keys to Table 17

1. Table 17. Same as Table 15 above (Part 3)
2. phage:
3. generation:
4. bacterial strain:

Next, Pasteurella pestis phage was transplanted with variants of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium (~~both the~~ variants which were ~~bacteriolyzed by this phage and variant which were not~~
~~the~~ ~~both~~ ~~which were not~~ do not become bacteriolyzed by this pestis
~~both~~ ~~were used~~) for several generations. The resulting phages were then tested ~~to determine~~
~~bacteriolyticities~~ against Pasteurella pestis and Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium. Results of these tests are given in Table 18. According to this Table, the phage that was transplanted with Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium variant ~~the~~ ~~and~~ ~~showed~~ ~~power~~ ~~bacteriolytic~~ ~~thrived~~ for several generations ~~and did not indicate~~ ~~a decrease in its bacteriolytic power~~ while ~~the one~~ ~~the phage~~ ~~transplanted with the~~ ~~variant~~ ~~which becomes bacteriolytic~~ ~~did not~~ ~~thrive~~.

CONFIDENTIAL

The Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage was also transplanted together with the S and R variants of Pasteurella pestis (this S variant was not bacteriolyzed by the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage while the R variant was bacteriolyzed) for several generations. The resulting ~~bacteriophages~~ were then tested for their bacteriolytic actions against Pasteurells pestis and Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium and the results are given in Table 19. According to this Table, the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage that was transplanted with the R variant thrived ~~██████████~~ for generations and showed ~~██████████~~ no decrease in its bacteriolytic ^{action} while the phage that was transplanted with the S variant not only retained its bacteriolytic ^{action} but also bacteriolyzed the S variant.

~~Summarizing~~ the above results, it was found that the pestis phage possessed no specificity against Pasteurella pestis and indicated bacteriolytic action against ~~██████████~~

CONFIDENTIAL

certain variants of *Corynebacterium pseudo-tuberculosis rodentium*. The *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium* phage on the other hand, produced bacteriolysis only against the R variant of *Pasteurella pestis*.

The above facts proved that the *Pasteurella pestis* phage had the ability to thrive for several generations when transplanted with a variant of *Corynebacterium pseudo-tuberculosis rodentium* which this phage could bacteriolyze but could not thrive when transplanted with another variant which it could not bacteriolyze. On the other hand, the *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium* phage had the ability to thrive for several generations when transplanted with the R variant of *Pasteurella pestis* which this phage could bacteriolyze but when this phage was transplanted with the S variant of *Pasteurella pestis*, which it could not bacteriolyze, it not only ~~thrived~~ for several generations but also generated to the point where it bacteriolysed this S variant.

These facts could be considered significant in regards to studies on the characteristics and true identity of phages.

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 18 on pp 472-17 of original)

Keys to Table 18.

1. Table 18. Results of the transplantation of *Pasteurella pestis* phage with *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium* for generations
2. phage
3. Variants of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium* used for transplantation
4. number of generations
5. Bacterial strains
6. *Pasteurella pestis*
7. *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium*
8. NISHI (TN: abbreviation of personal name)

(insert Table 19 on pp 472-18 of original)

Keys to Table 19

1. Table 19. Results of the transplantation of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium* phage with *Pasteurella pestis* for generations
2. phage
3. Variants of *Pasteurella pestis* used for transplantation
4. number of generations
5. bacterial strains

3K

CONFIDENTIAL

6. Pasteurella pestis

7. Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium

25
2. Experiments on Bacillus metadyenteriae

(OHARA Bacillus)

The bacteriolytic action of Pasteurella pestis phage against Bacillus metady-
teriae ~~has~~ ^{been} ~~not~~ ^{one} investigated by any ~~as~~ yet. I
 carried out an investigation on this and obtained
 results as given in Table 20. According to this
 Table, the pestis phage indicated no bacterio-
 lytic action against the S variant of Bacillus
metadyenteriae but ~~displayed~~ ^{completely} ~~very conspicuous~~
active ~~bacteriolytic~~ ~~action~~ against the R variant.

(insert Table 20.on pp 472-19 of original)

Keys to Table 20.

1. Table 20. The bacteriolytic action of Pasteurella pestis phage against Bacillus metadyenteriae
2. bacterial variants
3. phage
4. bacterial strains (variants of Bacillus metadyenteriae)

6
3

CONFIDENTIAL

~~the bacteriophages of Bacillus~~
~~were~~ isolated by the protamylase method and ~~tested for action~~ bacteriolytic potency against ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~, ~~were~~ tested. According to ~~the test~~ phage that ~~were~~ isolated from the S variant ~~and~~ bacteriolytic potency only ~~the variant~~ while ~~these~~ phage isolated from the R variant indicated ~~the~~ bacteriolytic potency only against the R variant. Results are given in Table 21. These phages were then tested against Pasteurella pestis and the bacteriolytic results are given in Table 22 ~~showing completely negative~~ results.

(insert Table 21 on pp 472-19 of original)

Keys to Table 21.

1. Table 21. The bacteriolytic ~~potency~~ ^{action} of ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~ phages against the variants of ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~
2. phages
3. Variants of ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~

(30)

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 22 on pp 472-20 of original)

Keys to Table 22

1. Table 22. The bacteriolytic ~~potency~~^{action} of
Bacillus metadysenteriae against Pas-
teurella pestis
2. phages
3. Variants of Pasteurella pestis
4. Type of Bacterila Strains

Contrary to the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage having a somewhat identical bacteriolytic action as the pasteurella pestis phage against Bacillus metadysenteriae (shown in Table 23), the Bacillus metadysenteriae phage indicated absolutely no bacteriolytic action against Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium (as shown in Table 24).

(insert Table 23 on pp 472-20 of original)

Keys to Table 23

1. Table 23. The bacteriolytic ~~potency~~^{action} of
Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium
against Bacillus metadysenteriae
2. phages
3. bacterial variants

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 24 on pp 472-20 of original)

Keys to Table 24

1. Table 24. The bacteriolytic ~~function~~^{action} of *Bacillus metadyenteriae* phage against *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium*
2. phages
3. bacteri~~al~~ variants
4. NISHI (~~TN~~ abbreviation of personal name)

The pestis phage which failed to show indicate bacteriolytic ~~function~~^{action} against *Bacillus metadyenteriae*, due to its weakness during the initial stage after ~~the~~ isolation, indicated bacteriolytic ~~function~~^{action} against the R variant of *Bacillus metadyenteriae* ~~after it was~~ transplanted for generations with ~~the~~ original strain ~~which increased its power,~~

In accordance with ~~considering these facts, if the~~ ~~were to be~~ *Bacillus metadyenteriae* phage ~~before~~ mixed with *Pasteurella pestis* phage and ~~the~~ these mixed phages ~~were to be~~ transplanted together with *Pasteurella pestis*, then the *Bacillus metadyenteriae* phage should gradually become weakened and finally ~~disappear but dissolved~~, on the contrary, the intensified bacterio~~lytic action~~ of ~~the~~

CONFIDENTIAL

Pasteurella pestis phage against Bacillus metady-enteriae signify the fact that the Bacillus metady-enteriae phage did not mix with the Pasteurella pestis phage. There were some irregular type of phages like the one isolated from the RS variant of Type 1004 strain that showed bacteriolytic action against Bacillus metady-enteriae (results given in Table 25).

(insert Table 25 on pp 472-21 of original)

Keys to Table 25

1. Table 25. The Bacteriolytic action of Pasteurella pestis phage against Bacillus metady-enteriae
2. phages
3. number of generations
4. bacterial strains (variants)

On the other hand, the Bacillus metady-enteriae phages showed no bacteriolytic action against the Pasteurella pestis even though they were transplanted and strengthened for many generations (results given in Table 26.)

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 26 on pp 472-22 of original)

Keys to Table 26

1. Table 26. ~~The~~ Bacteriolytic ~~function~~
of Bacillus metadyenteriae phage against
Pasteurella pestis
2. phages
3. number of generations
4. bacterial strains (variants)

~~The~~ Pasteurella pestis phage was transplanted with the R variant of Bacillus metadyenteriae for generations and the bacteriolytic ~~function~~
~~action~~ of the resulting phage was tested ~~against~~ Pasteurella pestis and Bacillus metadyenteriae with results ~~shown~~ in Table 27. According to this Table, the Pasteurella pestis phage thrived for ~~several~~ generations and indicated no decrease in ~~bacteriolytic~~
~~action~~ against Pasteurella pestis and the R variant of Bacillus metadyenteriae. When this phage was transplanted with the S variant of Bacillus metadyenteriae and Shigella dysenteriae, both of which were not bacteriolyzed by the pestis phage, ~~it~~ it lost its ~~bacterio~~
~~lyze~~ ~~power to~~ ~~bacteriolyze~~ ~~against~~ Pasteurella pestis and

40

CONFIDENTIAL

the
R variant of *Bacillus metadyssenteriae* during
the 5th and 6th generation. (results given in
Table 28).

(insert Table 27 on pp 472-23 of original)

Keys to Table 27

1. Table 27. Transplantation of *Pasteurella*
pestis phage with *Bacillus metadyssenteriae* *for generations*
(Part 1)

2. phages

3. *Bacterial* strains used for transplan-
tation

4. number of generations

5. *bacterial* strains

6. *Pasteurella pestis*

7. *Bacillus metadyssenteriae*

8. No 9 strain of *Bacillus metadyssenteriae*

9. No 5 strain of *Bacillus metadyssenteriae*

(insert Table 28 on pp 472-24 of original)

Keys to Table 28

1. Table 28. Transplantation of *Pasteurella*
pestis phage with *Bacillus metadyssenteriae* *and*
Shigella dysenteriae *for generations*
(Part 2)

CONFIDENTIAL

2. phages
3. bacterial strains used for transplantation
4. number of generations
5. bacterial strains
6. Pasteurella pestis
7. Bacillus metadysenteriae
8. Shigella dysenteriae
9. HIGASHI (TN: abbreviation of personal name)
10. No 4 strain of Bacillus metadysenteriae
11. F type Shigella dysenteriae
12. No 4 strain of Bacillus metadysenteriae

When the Bacillus metadysenteriae phage was transplanted with Pasteurella pestis ~~several~~ for generations, it lost its bacteriolytic action against Bacillus metadysenteriae after the 4th or 5th generation and indicated no bacteriolytic action ~~also~~ against Pasteurella pestis (results given in Table 29).

(insert Table 29 on pp 472-25 of original)

Keys to Table 29

1. Table 29. Transplantation of Bacillus metadysenteriae phage with Pasteurella pestis
2. phages
3. bacterial strains used in transplantation

CONFIDENTIAL

4. number of generations
5. bacterial strains
6. Pasteurella pestis
7. Bacillus metadyenteriae

In summarizing the above results, it was found that the Pasteurella pestis phage ~~possessed~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ function against the R variant of Bacillus metadyenteriae and although it ~~retained its~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ ~~function~~ through transplantation with the R variant of Bacillus metadyenteriae it ~~was unable to retain~~ ~~its~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ when transplanted with ~~Pasteurella pestis~~ and Shigella dysenteriae. Contrary to this, the Bacillus metadyenteriae phage was transplanted for 25 generations with ~~its~~ original strains but ~~it~~ showed no ~~bacteriolytic action~~ against Pasteurella pestis. When this Bacillus metadyenteriae phage was transplanted with Pasteurella pestis ~~however~~, for several generations it was found that this phage not only ~~failed to produce~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ against Pasteurella pestis but also ~~converted~~ lost its bacteriolytic ~~function against~~ Bacillus metadyenteriae.

CONFIDENTIAL

According to the above results, phages of Pasteurella pestis and the R variant of ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~ ^{had} ~~had~~ different characteristics, although their bacteriolytic ^{action} ~~functions~~ against the R variant of ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~ remained the same. The Pasteurella pestis phage, which was isolated by the protamylase method, bacteriolyzed and thrived for several generations when transplanted with the R variant of ~~Bacillus dysenteriae~~. Consequently, this pestis phage could be considered as a type of ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~ phage. However, it is a very significant fact that the isolation of such a phage directly from ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~ can not be done without the use of Pasteurella pestis as an agent. Such data is of interest to those studying the genetic order of phages that were isolated by the protamylase method.

Formerly, there was doubt as to whether the ~~Bacillus metadyenteriae~~ which was used in the transplantation of Pasteurella pestis phage contained ~~other~~ phages, but subsequent research proved that this was not so according to results obtained (Table 30).

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 30 on pp 472-26 of original)

Keys to Table 30

1. Table 30. Tests in the determination
of the presence of phages in *Bacillus meta-*
dysenteriae

2. phages

3. bacterial strains

Tests
3. ~~Experiments~~ on Other Bacteria

Bacteriolytic ~~functions~~ of *Pasteurella*
pestis phage against other type of bacteria
were investigated since it was proven that it
~~had~~ bacteriolytic ~~function~~ not only
~~the~~ *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*
rodentium but also the R variant of *Bacillus*
metady-enteriae, as mentioned previously.

This *pestis* phage had no bacteriolytic
effect upon *Shigella dysenteriae* regardless of
~~its source~~ and the *Shigella dysenteriae* phage
also had no effect on *Pasteurella pestis*
(results given in Tables 31 and 32).

(insert Table 31 on pp 472-27 of original)

CONFIDENTIAL

Keys to Table 31

1. Table 31. ~~The Bacteriolytic Action~~
of Pasteurella pestis phage against Shigella
dysenteriae
2. bacterial strains
3. phages
4. type of bacteria
5. Shigella dysenteriae
6. Shigella of Flexner (Shigella paradysenteriae)
7. Bacillus 'Y'
8. SUGI
9. KUNI/ KOKU
10. HIGASHI/ TO
11. FUJI/ TO
12. KAWA
- } (TN: abbreviations
of personal names)

(insert Table 32 on pp 472-27 of original)

Keys to Table 32

1. Table 32. ~~The Bacteriolytic Action~~
of Shigella dysenteriae phage against Pasteurella
pestis
2. phages
3. bacterial strains
4. type of bacteria
5. Shiga

CONFIDENTIAL

- 6. F (TN: Flexner)
- 7. Y (TN: Bacillus 'Y')
- 8. SUGI }
- 9. KUNI } (TN: abbreviations of
- 10. HIGASHI personal names
- 11. FUJI
- 12. KAWA

The relation between Shigella dysenteriae phage and Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium was the same as ~~the relation~~ between Shigella dysenteriae phage and Pasteurella pestis (results given in Tables 33 and 34)
 (insert Table 33 on pp 472-27 of original)

Keys to Table 33.

1. Table 33. ~~The Bacteriolytic function of~~ Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis phage against Shigella dysenteriae

- 2. phages
- 3. bacterial strains
- 4. SHI (TN:)
- 5. SHUGI }
- 6. KUNI }
- 7. HIGASHI }
- 8. FUJI }
- 9. KAWA }

(TN: abbreviations of
personal names)

44

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 34 on pp 472-28 of original)

Keys to Table 34

1. Table 34. ~~action~~ Bacteriolytic ~~function~~
of *Shigella dysenteriae* phage against *Cory-*
nebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium
2. phages
3. bacterial strains
4. NISHI } {
5. SHI } { (TN: abbreviations of
6. SUGI } { personal names)
7. KUNI } {
8. HIGASHI } {
9. FUJI } {
10. KAWA } {

~~action~~
Tests on the bacteriolytic ~~function~~
~~tion~~ of *Pasteurella pestis* phage against
Eberthella typhosa, *Brucella*, *Salmonella*
paratyphi, *Salmonella schottmulleri*, *Sal-*
monella hirschfeldii, *Salmonella enteritidis*
indicated that ~~the~~ ~~phage~~ had no effect on
these bacteria (Table showing results of these
tests ~~are~~ omitted).

The bacteriolytic ~~inter-~~ ~~action~~
~~ship~~ between *Bacillus metadyseteriae* and
Shigella dysenteriae phages ~~is~~ given in Table
35 for reference.

CONFIDENTIAL

(insert Table 35 on pp 472-28 of original)

Keys to Table 35

1. Table 35. ~~The Bacteriolytic inter-~~
~~relationship between Bacillus metadyserteriae~~
 and Shigella dysenteriae phages

- 2. phages
- 3. bacterial strains
- 4. Bacillus metadyserteriac
- 5. Shigella dysenteriae

6. Shiga

- 7. SUGI
- 8. KUNI
- 9. HIGASHI
- 10. FUJI
- 11. KAWA

12. Bacillus metadyserteriae

13. Shigella dysenteriae

14. Shiga

15. SUGI

16. KUNI

17. HIGASHI

18. FUJI

19. KAWA

(TN: abbreviations
 of personal names)

CONFIDENTIAL

4. Resume of this Chapter Summary

I ~~had~~ investigated the bacteriolytic function of pestis phage against other type of bacteria and also ~~the bacteriolytic action of phages of other~~ types of bacteria against Pasteurella pestis. I found that the pestis phage bacteriolyzed certain types of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium and the R variant of Bacillus metadysenteriae.

The Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage ~~showed~~ indicated bacteriolytic function only against the R variant of Pasteurella pestis and the Bacillus metadysenteriae phage ~~showed~~ indicated absolutely no bacteriolytic ~~action~~ against Pasteurella pestis.

Several tests were made to determine the ~~action~~ interrelationship of the bacteriolytic characteristics of Bacillus metadysenteriae and Pasteurella pestis phages. According to the results ~~of~~ these tests, it was possible by the protamylase method to isolate directly from Bacillus metadysenteriae and indirectly from Pasteurella pestis phages ~~having~~ specificity against Bacillus metadysenteriae. These phages

CONFIDENTIAL

may be transplanted for generations with *Bacillus metadyenteriae* but ~~it was~~ impossible to isolate identical phages directly from *Bacillus metadyenteriae* unless *Pasteurella pestis* was ~~once~~ used as an agent. It is a very interesting fact that this certain type of *Bacillus metadyenteriae* phage can be isolated only ~~when~~ ^{after} *Pasteurella pestis* ~~was~~ used as an agent.

(b1)

CONFIDENTIAL

Summary and Considerations
continued

My attempts in carrying out research on Pasteurella pestis ~~—~~-phage resulted in the detection of ~~Pasteurella~~-phage in 7 of the 19 Pasteurella pestis strains by the protamylase method. ~~I~~ found that the use of ~~old~~ protamylase made the detection of ~~Pasteurella~~-phage difficult and also that the detection rate was ~~unchanged by~~ ^{reduced by} the period of time the protamylase ~~had~~ to act against Pasteurella pestis.

I also attempted to detect pestis ⁱⁿ phage ~~from~~-chicken droppings and from the intestinal contents and droppings of test animals (mice and guinea pigs) that died from plague but failed to isolate any ~~bacteriophage~~. This proved that it was comparatively more difficult to detect phages in the Pasteurella pestis than ~~and~~ Enterobacteriaceae.

Next, I investigated the characteristics of ~~the~~ pestis phage which ~~were~~ isolated by the protamylase method. These phages indicated bacteriolytic ~~action~~ not only against their original strains but also against other ~~strains~~ strains in general, and their bacteriolytic ~~power~~ potency increased when transplanted with their original bacterial strain ~~and/or~~ other type of

CONFIDENTIAL

strains. Moreover, phages that ~~had~~ indicated bacteriolytic ~~function~~ only against their original strains during the initial stage after they were isolated were found to act also against other ~~type~~ strains ~~after~~ they were transplanted for generations and their ~~power~~ potency increased.

The Pasteurella pestis phage indicated comparatively stronger resistance against vaporization heat than the Pasteurella pestis and showed ~~some~~ difference in resistance ~~depending on~~ the original bacterial strain.

Bail's so-called 'elementary phage' phenomenon was not detected in the pestis phage and there existed no relation between the degree of temperature used in the cultivation of bacteria and their bacteriolytic actions.

The relation between the variants of Pasteurella pestis ~~and~~ and the bacteriolytic functions of pestis phage was investigated and ~~it~~ was found that there existed no relation between the E \rightarrow N variants of Pasteurella pestis and the pestis phage. Phages that were isolated from E and N variants ~~had~~ identical characteristics and ~~showed~~ absolutely no difference in ~~their~~ bacteriolytic actions. In consideration

CONFIDENTIAL

of these facts, it could be assumed that the
 _____ existence or non-existence of ^{antigenic} envelopes
 _____ had no ^{influence on} the bacteriolytic ^{action}
function of the pestis phage.

Relation between the S → R → RS
 Variants of *Pasteurella pestis* and the pestis
 phage was investigated and _____ found that phages
 isolated from the R and RS variants were
 characteristically identical to that isolated
 from the S variant. Investigation ^{of their} bac-
 teriolytic ^{phenomena} indicated that phages
 of the S, R and RS variants all produced ^{large} vacuoles
 medium and small vacuoles ~~against~~ against
 the S variant and at times produced large va-
 cuoles. Borders of these vacuoles were clear.
 On the other hand, these phages produced large,
 medium and small vacuoles against the R and RS
 variants, and in comparison to the S variant,
 produced large vacuoles more often. The borders
 of these vacuoles ~~slightly~~ differed from that
 of the S variant and were slightly turbid.

It could be clearly seen from the
 above results that pestis ~~phages~~ isolated
 from the S and the R variants cannot be diffe-

CONFIDENTIAL

rentiated. However, these phages clarified the existence of dissimilar bacteriolytic characteristics against the S variant in comparison to the R and RS variants. It was also found that the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage ~~showed~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ only against the R and RS variants of Pasteurella pestis and none against the S variant and ~~the~~ the RT variant.

These investigational results ~~helped~~ clarify the existence of the S → R → RS variants of Pasteurella pestis all the more.

The specificity of the pestis phage was next investigated and it was found that this phage ~~showed~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ only against certain types of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium strains. Considering Both ~~the above results~~ and the fact that the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage ~~showed~~ bacteriolytic ~~action~~ only against the R variant of Pasteurella pestis ~~proved~~ very interesting. The question of what ~~is~~ the difference exists between the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium that ~~becomes~~ becomes bacteriolyzed by the pestis phage

CONFIDENTIAL

and the one that does not become bacteriolyzed, by the pestis phage is a very interesting ~~subject~~ which should be studied and clarified in the future.

The Pasteurella pestis phage also showed bacteriolytic action only against the R variant of Bacillus metadysenteriae and result none against the S variant. This also clarified ~~this phenomenon~~ the point that ~~it~~ was not brought possible about by the infiltration of Bacillus metadysenteriae phage into the pestis phage. However, both ~~the~~ phages that were isolated from the S and R variants of Bacillus metadysenteriae showed absolutely no bacteriolytic action against Pasteurella pestis.

Aside from the above tests, the pestis phage was ^{also} tested against Shigella dysenteriae, Eberthella typhosa, Brucella, Salmonella paratyphi, Salmonella Schottmulleri, and Salmonella hirschfeldii and Salmonella enteritidis and was found ~~to have~~ no bacteriolytic action against any of these bacteria.

Synthetic phages isolated by the protamylase method were principally used in

(b)

CONFIDENTIAL

these tests. However, it is my belief that when natural phages ~~are~~ isolated in the future and ~~and their characteristics~~ studied, the characteristics of Pestis phage ~~would further~~ could be clarified. ~~all~~
~~The more and very interesting data could~~
~~obtained~~

Conclusions

I isolated pestis phage from 7 out of the 19 Pasteurella pestis strains by the protamylase method, investigated their characteristics, and particularly clarified the relation between the variants of Pasteurella pestis and the bacteriolytic ~~action~~ functions of the ~~all~~ ~~bacteriophages~~.

I investigated also the specificity of the pestis phages and also the bacteriolytic ~~functions~~ of ~~other~~ other bacterial phages against Pasteurella pestis. The pestis phage ~~showed~~ indicated bacteriolytic action against certain ~~variations~~ variants of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium and the R variant of Bacillus metadyenteriae, while the Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis rodentium phage ~~showed~~ indicated bacteriolytic ~~action~~ function only against the R variant of Pasteurella pestis.

CONFIDENTIAL

Bibliography

1. Felix Hubert d'Herelle
Presse Med., 1925, Vol 33 pp 1393
2. P. Hauduroy and A. Ghalib
Comptes Rendues a la Societe Biologique
1929, Vol 100 pp 1085
3. M. Pokrovskaya
Gynecology. I. Epidemiology, 1929, Vol 12
4. M. Advier
Bulletin of the Society of Pathology exot.
1933 Vol 26 pp 94
5. W. F. Harvey
Tropical Diseases Bulletin, 1933 Vol 30
pp 381-411
6. G. Girard
Comptes Rendues a la Societe Biologique
1935, Vol 120 pp 333
7. P. C. Flu
Zbl. Bakt. I. Orig., 1929, Vol 113
pp 284-468
8. P. C. Flu
Comptes Rendues a la Societe Biologique
1929, Vol 98 pp 1148
9. SUGINO
Journal of Bacteriology, 1931, 428, 49

CONFIDENTIAL

10. L. Couvy

Comptes Rendus a la Societe Biologique

1932, 109, 1344

11. O. Boil

Wiener Kleine Wochenschrift, 1922, 35,

722

12. J. W. Jonzen and L. K. Wolff

Zbl. Bakt. I. Orig., 1923, 90, 6.

13. W. Stiffert

Zbl. Bakt. I. Orig., 1922, 89, 195

14. KUWANO

Nihon Igaku, 1926, 15, 1

15. KUROZAWA

Tokyo Iji Shimpo, 1927, 2535, 5

16. YAGI

Journal of Bacteriology, 1928, 390, 531

17. HANABU

Keio Igaku, 1929, 9, 1173

SA

CONFIDENTIAL